香港中文大學
The Chinese University of Hong Kong

CSCI2510 Computer Organization

Lecture 08: Memory Performance

Ming-Chang YANG

mcyang@cse.cuhk.edu.hk



Reading: Chap. 8.7

Recall: Cache at a Glance



- **Cache Block / Line**: The unit composed of *multiple successive* memory words (size: cache block > word).
  - The contents of a cache block (of memory words) will be loaded into or unloaded from the cache at a time.
- **Cache Read (or Write) Hit/Miss**: The read (or write) operation **can**/**cannot** be performed on the cache.
- **Cache Management**:
  - **Mapping Functions**: Decide how cache is organized and how addresses are mapped to the main memory.
  - **Replacement Algorithms**: Decide which item to be unloaded from cache when cache is full.

Outline

- Performance Evaluation
  - Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty
  - Average Memory Access Time
- Performance Enhancements
  - Prefetch
  - Load-Through
  - Memory Module Interleaving

Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty

- **Cache Hit**:
  - The access can be done in the cache.
  - **Hit Rate**: The ratio of number of hits to all accesses.
    - Hit rates over 0.9 are essential for high-performance PCs.

- **Cache Miss**:
  - The access can not be done in the cache.
  - **Miss Rate**: The ratio of number of misses to all accesses.
  - **Miss Penalty**: the total access time passed through (seen by the processor) when a cache miss occurs.
    - When cache miss occur, extra time is needed to bring blocks from the slower main memory to the faster cache.
    - During that time, the processor is stalled.

Class Exercise 8.1

Student ID: _____ Date:
Name: _____ _____

- In the working examples discussed in Class Exercise 7.6~7.8, the program will lead to
  - **2** cache hits (when i = 9 and 8) for direct mapping.
  - **8** cache hits (when i = 9, 8, …, 2) for associative mapping.
  - **4** cache hits (when i = 9, 8, …, 6) for 4-way set associative.
- What are the cache hit rates for each case?



An Example of Miss Penalty

- **Miss Penalty**: the total access time passed through (seen by the processor) when a cache miss occurs.
- Consider a system with only one level of cache with following parameters:
  - Word access time to the cache: t
  - Word access time to the main memory: $10t$
  - When a cache miss occurs, a cache block of 8 words will be transferred from the main memory to the cache.
    - Time to transfer the first word: $10t$
    - Time to transfer each of the rest words: t (a.k.a. *fast page mode*)

- The miss penalty can be derived as:

$$t + 10t + 7 \times t + t = 19t$$

The initial cache access that results in a miss.

CPU access the requested data in the cache.

Outline

- Performance Evaluation
  - Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty
  - Average Memory Access Time
- Performance Enhancements
  - Prefetch
  - Load-Through
  - Memory Module Interleaving

Average Memory Access Time

- Consider a system with only one level of cache:
  - h: Cache Hit Rate
  - $1 - h$: Cache Miss Rate
  - C: Cache Access Time
  - M: Miss Penalty
    - It mainly consists of the time to access a block in the main memory.

Expected Value in Probability

$$E[X] = \sum_i x_i \times f(x_i)$$

- The average memory access time can be defined as:

$$t_{avg} = h \times C + (1 - h) \times M$$



  - For example, given $h = 0.9,\ C = 1\ cycle,\ M = 19\ cycles$:

→ Avg. memory access time: $0.9 \times 1 + 0.1 \times 19 = 2.8\ (cycles)$

Real-life Example: Intel Core 2 Duo

- Number of Processors : 1
- Number of Cores : **2** per processor
- Number of Threads : 2 per processor
- Name : **Intel Core 2 Duo E6600**
- Code Name : Conroe
- Specification : Intel(R) Core(TM)2 CPU 6600@2.40GHz
- Technology : 65 nm
- Core Speed : 2400 MHz
- Multiplier x Bus speed : 9.0 x 266.0 MHz = 2400 MHz
- Front-Side-Bus speed : 4 x 266.0MHz = 1066 MHz
- Instruction Sets : MMX, SSE, SSE2, SSE3, SSSE3, EM64T
- **L1 Cache**
  - **Data Cache** : **2 x** 32 KBytes, 8-way set associative, 64-byte line size
  - **Instruction Cache** : **2 x** 32 KBytes, 8-way set associative, 64-byte line size
- **L2 Cache** : 4096 KBytes, 16-way set associative, 64-byte line size

Real-life Example: Intel Core 2 Duo



Multi-Level Caches

- In high-performance processors, two levels of caches are normally used, L1 and L2.
  - **L1 Cache**: Must be very fast as they determine the memory access time seen by the processor.
  - **L2 Cache**: Can be slower, but it should be much larger than the L1 cache to ensure a high hit rate.
- The avg. memory access time of two levels of caches:

$$t_{avg} = h_1 \times C_1 + (1 - h_1) \times [h_2 \times M_{L1} + (1 - h_2) \times M_{L2}],$$

  - h_1/h_2: hit rate of L1 cache / L2 cache
  - $C_1/C_2/Mem$: access time to L1 cache / L2 cache / memory
  - M_{L1}: miss penalty of L1 miss & L2 hit
    - E.g., $M_{L1} = C1 + C2 + C1$
  - M_{L2}: miss penalty of L1 miss & L2 miss
    - E.g., $M_{L2} = C1 + C2 + Mem + C2 + C1$

Avg. memory access time of one level of cache:

$$t_{avg} = h \times C + (1 - h) \times M$$

Class Exercise 8.2

- Given a system with one level of cache, and a system with two level of caches.
- Assume the hit rates of L1 cache and L2 cache (if any) are both 0.9.
- What are the probabilities that miss penalty must be paid to read a block from memory in both systems?

Separate Instruction/Data Caches (1/2)

- Consider the system with only one level of cache:
  - Word access time to the cache: 1 cycle
  - Word access time to the main memory: 10 $cycles$
  - When a cache miss occurs, a cache block of 8 words will be transferred from the main memory to the cache.
    - Time to transfer the first word: 10 $cycles$
    - Time to transfer one word of the rest 7 words: 1 cycle
  - Miss Penalty: $1 + 10 + 7 \times 1 + 1 = 19$ $(cycles)$

- Assume there are total 130 memory accesses:
  - 100 memory accesses for instructions with hit rate 0.95
  - 30 memory access for data (operands) with hit rate = 0.90

Separate Instruction/Data Caches (2/2)

- Total execution cycles **without** cache:

$$t_{without} = 100 \times 10 + 30 \times 10 = 1300\ cycles$$

  - All of the memory accesses will result in a reading of a memory word (of latency 10 cycles).

- Total execution cycles **with** cache:

Avg. memory access time for instructions: $h \times C + (1-h) \times M$

$$t_{with} = 100 \times (0.95 \times 1 + 0.05 \times 19) + 30 \times (0.9 \times 1 + 0.1 \times 19) = 274\ cycles$$

Avg. memory access time for data: $h \times C + (1-h) \times M$

- The performance improvement:

$$\frac{t_{without}}{t_{with}} = \frac{1300}{274} = 4.74\ (speed\ up!)$$

Class Exercise 8.3

- Consider the same system with one level of cache.
  - Word access time to the cache: $1\ cycle$
  - Word access time to the main memory: $10\ cycles$
  - Miss Penalty: $1 + 10 + 7 \times 1 + 1 = 19\ (cycles)$
  - 100 memory accesses for instructions with hit rate 0.95
  - 30 memory access for data (operands) with hit rate = 0.90
- What is the performance difference between this cache and an ideal cache?
  - **Ideal Cache**: All the accesses can be done in cache.

Outline

- Performance Evaluation
  - Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty
  - Average Memory Access Time

- Performance Enhancements
  - Prefetch
  - Load-Through
  - Memory Module Interleaving

How to Improve the Performance?

- Recall the system with only one level of cache:
  - h: Cache Hit Rate
  - $1 - h$: Cache Miss Rate
  - C: Cache Access Time
  - M: Miss Penalty
    - It mainly consists of the time to access a block in the main memory.
- The average memory access time can be defined as:

$$t_{avg} = h \times C + (1 - h) \times M$$

- Possible ways to further reduce t_{avg}?
  ① Use faster cache (i.e., $C \downarrow$)? $$$...
  ② Improve the hit rate (i.e., $h \uparrow$)?
  ③ Reduce the miss penalty (i.e., $M \downarrow$)?

Outline

- Performance Evaluation
  - Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty
  - Average Memory Access Time

- Performance Enhancements
  - Prefetch (i.e., $h \uparrow$)
  - Load-Through
  - Memory Module Interleaving

How to Improve Hit Rate?

- How about larger block size?
  - Larger blocks take more advantage of the spatial locality.
    - **Spatial Locality**: If all items in a larger block are needed in a computation, it is better to load them into cache in a single miss.
  - Larger blocks are effective only up to a certain size:
    - Too many items will remain unused before the block is replaced.
    - It takes longer time to transfer larger blocks and may also increase the miss penalty.
  - Block sizes of 16 to 128 bytes are most popular.



Prefetch: More rather than Larger

- **Prefetch**: Load **more** (rather than ~~**larger**~~) blocks with consecutive memory addresses into the cache before they are needed, while CPU is busy.
  - Prefetch instruction can be put by programmer or compiler.
- Some data may be loaded into the cache without being used, before the prefetched data are replaced.
  - The overall effect on performance is still positive.
  - Most processors support the prefetch instruction.



Revisit: Will Prefetch Help with This?

- Cache Configuration:
  - Cache has 8 blocks.
  - A block is of 1 (= 2^0) word.
  - A word is of 16 bits.

```
short  A[10][4];
int    sum = 0;
int    j, i;
double mean;

// 1) forward loop
for (j = 0; j <= 9; j++)
  sum += A[j][0];
mean = sum / 10.0;

// 2) backward loop
for (i = 9; i >= 0; i--)
  A[i][0] = A[i][0] / mean;
```

- **A[10][4]** is an array of words located at the memory word addresses $(7A00)_{16}$~$(7A27)_{16}$ in **row-major** order.





Outline

- Performance Evaluation
  - Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty
  - Average Memory Access Time

- Performance Enhancements
  - Prefetch
  - Load-Through (i.e., $M \downarrow$)
  - Memory Module Interleaving

Load-through

- Consider a read cache miss:
  - Copy the block containing the requested word to the cache.
  - Then forward to CPU after the entire block is loaded.
- **Load-through**: Instead of waiting the whole block to be transferred, send the requested word to the processor as soon as it is ready.
  - **Pros**: Opportunistically reduce the miss paneity
  - **Cons**: At the expense of more complex circuitry ($)



Outline

- Performance Evaluation
  - Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty
  - Average Memory Access Time

- Performance Enhancements
  - Prefetch
  - Load-Through
  - Memory Module Interleaving (i.e., $M \downarrow$)

Memory Module Interleaving (1/3)

- How to substantially reduce the miss penalty?
  - The main memory is slow in essence ...
- **Idea**: Hide the memory access latency by interleaving memory accesses across several memory modules.
  - Each module has own **Address Buffer Register (ABR)** and **Data Buffer Register (DBR)** to access memory contents.



Without Memory Module Interleaving

With Memory Module Interleaving

Memory Module Interleaving (2/3)

- Multiple memory modules (usually a multiple of 2) can be installed in modern computers.



https://origin-symwisedownload.symantec.com/resources/webguides/cacheflow/3x/3_4/webguide/Content/Hardware/CF500_Memory.htm

Memory Module Interleaving (3/3)

- Which scheme below can be better interleaved?
  - **Scheme (a)**: Consecutive words in the same module.
  - **Scheme (b)**: Consecutive words in successive module.
    - Keep multiple modules busy at any on time.

$(0\ldots0\,0\,0\;\;0\,0\,0\,0\ldots0\,0\,1\,0)_2 = (2)_{10}$
$(0\ldots0\,0\,0\;\;0\,0\,0\,0\ldots0\,0\,0\,1)_2 = (1)_{10}$
$(0\ldots0\,0\,0\;\;0\,0\,0\,0\ldots0\,0\,0\,0)_2 = (0)_{10}$

$(0\ldots0\,0\,0\;\;0\,0\,0\,0\ldots0\,0\,1\,0)_2 = (2)_{10}$
$(0\ldots0\,0\,0\;\;0\,0\,0\,0\ldots0\,0\,0\,1)_2 = (1)_{10}$
$(0\ldots0\,0\,0\;\;0\,0\,0\,0\ldots0\,0\,0\,0)_2 = (0)_{10}$



(a) Consecutive words in the **same** module



(b) Consecutive words in **successive** modules

Example of Memory Module Interleaving

- Consider a cache read miss, and we need to load a block of 8 words from main memory to the cache.
- Assume consecutive words are in successive modules for the better interleaving (i.e., Scheme (b)).
- For every memory module:
  - **Address Buffer Register** & **Data Buffer Register**
  - Module Operations:
    - ⬇ Send an address to ABR: **1** cycle
    - ⬆ Read the first word from module into DBR: **6** cycles
    - ⬆ Read a subsequent word from module into DBR: **4** cycles
    - ⬆ Read the data from DBR: **1** cycle



The shared buses only allow accessing the **ABR** of any module one at a time and accessing the **DBR** of any module one at a time.

Without Interleaving (Single Module)

- Total cycles to read a single word from the module:

  - **1** cycle to send the address
  - **6** cycles to read the first word
  - **1** cycle to read the data from DBR → $1 + 6 + 1 = 8\ cycles$

Send an address to ABR: **1** cycle
Read the first word: **6** cycles
Read a subsequent word: **4** cycles
Read the data from DBR: **1** cycle

- Total cycles to read an 8-word block from the module:



With Interleaving

- Send an address to ABR: 1 cycle
- Read the first word: 6 cycles
- Read a subsequent word: 4 cycles
- Read the data from DBR: 1 cycle

- Total cycles to read a 8-word block from **four** interleaved memory modules:



$$1 + 6 + 1 \times 8 = 15\ cycles$$

Class Exercise 8.4

- What is the number of total cycles to read an 8-word block from **two** interleaved memory modules?

Send an address to ABR: **1** cycle
Read the first word: **6** cycles
Read a subsequent word: **4** cycles
Read the data from DBR: **1** cycle



Summary

- Performance Evaluation
  - Cache Hit/Miss Rate and Miss Penalty
  - Average Memory Access Time

$$t_{avg} = h \times C + (1 - h) \times M$$

- Performance Enhancements
  - Prefetch (i.e., $h \uparrow$)
  - Load-Through (i.e., $M \downarrow$)
  - Memory Module Interleaving (i.e., $M \downarrow$)